

New Business

Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization

July 21, 2010

Agenda Item #18





COUNTY of ISLE OF WIGHT

THE COURTHOUSE

July 19, 2010

Mr. Dwight L. Farmer, Executive Director
Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization
The Regional Building
723 Woodlake Drive
Chesapeake, VA 23320

RE: Request to Reallocate RSTP Funds

Dear Mr. Farmer,

Isle of Wight County has recently been awarded a Revenue Sharing project from the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) to improve a failing major intersection in the County. The intersection is at Route 258/32 (Brewers Neck) and Route 10/32 (Benns Church Boulevard). VDOT awarded the County revenue matched funds in the amount of \$446,498.00, a result of a revenue sharing application for \$1 million, the details of which are attached for your reference. The estimate for construction is \$2.6 million and assignment by VDOT of a UPC is forthcoming.

With the Revenue Sharing award, the County has an opportunity to improve the above noted intersection that is a bottleneck for Isle of Wight residents, commuters and tourists traveling to and through the County from Suffolk, Newport News and Surry, and to incentivize a major development in the County if sufficient funds can be committed to the project. The intersection improvements and the opportunity to "jump start" development in the County will help offset the devastating impact to our tax base that will result from the recent closing of International Paper. These circumstances and the recent announcement of undesignated Regional Surface Transportation Program (RSTP) funds prompted my recent telephone call inquiry to you concerning funds that might be available to complete our project.

Please consider this correspondence as Isle of Wight County's request for the Transportation Planning Organization (TPO) to consider reallocating a portion of the region's unused RSTP funds to fund this project's completion. Isle of Wight County has no current projects using RSTP or Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds.

Thank you for your assistance and I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely yours,



v

W. Douglas Caskey
County Administrator

Enclosure (1)

Cc: The Honorable Board of Supervisors
A. Paul Burton, Interim County Attorney
Beverly Walkup, Director of Planning and Zoning
Edwin P. Wrightson, Director of General Services

SEPARATE APPLICATION REQUIRED FOR EACH PROJECT TO BE CONSIDERED. EACH PROJECT CONSIDERED INDIVIDUALLY.

REVENUE SHARING DETAILED DESIGNATION OF FUNDS FORM

FY: 2010-11 Revenue Sharing Program District: Hampton Roads Residency: Franklin
 County of Isle of Wight # of Applications Locality is submitting: 1

PROJECT INFORMATION (Please TAB from field to field)

Locality's Priority #: 1 Route #: 258 and local road name, if available: Brewers Neck Blvd/Benns Church Blvd
 State Project Number: _____ VDOT #:

PROJECT ESTIMATES – see asterisks (*) below for information regarding how to determine info needed

PHASE	*Total Estimated Project Cost	Sections below pertain to Revenue Sharing funded portion only:		
		Estimated Eligible Project Costs	*Estimated Eligible VDOT Project Expenses	****Estimated Reimbursement to Locality
PE	\$ 400,000	\$ 0	\$ 7,000	\$
RW	\$ 100,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
CN	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 983,000
TOTAL	\$2,600,000	\$1,000,000	\$17,000	\$983,000

Please TAB from field to field after entering amounts. Calculate column totals by right clicking on "\$ 0" then select – "Update Field".

- * **Total Estimated Project Cost** – this should be reflective of all projects costs we will be showing in the Agreement (and on the Appendix A).
- ** **Estimated Eligible Project Costs** – this cost is limited to the amount of Revenue Sharing funds we have for the project. Please determine the amount of Revenue Sharing funds that will be used for each phase. (For locally administered projects this is limited to Revenue Sharing *state* funds.)
- *** **Estimated Eligible VDOT Project Expenses** – this cost should reflect how much Revenue Sharing the locality has agreed can be spent for VDOT time or work. If this is a VDOT-administered project, all eligible project costs should be reflected here. If this is a locality-administered project, only those costs the locality agrees VDOT personnel can charge for time or work to project should be reflected here. (Even if it is locality-administered, there may be costs on every project for VDOT for administering SERP, inspection, plan review or other type services.)
- **** **Estimated Reimbursement to Locality** – this cost should only be shown on Revenue Sharing locality-administered projects. It should reflect the amount of VDOT matching Revenue Sharing funds that will be reimbursed to the locality, minus any VDOT expenditures if no funds were received from the locality to cover VDOT expenditures.

LOCALLY ADMINISTERED – [YES] – Please complete if project will be administered by the locality

Locally Administered? Respond for each phase below	Requesting to be reimbursed? Respond for each phase below
PE: <u>Yes</u>	PE: <u>No</u>
RW: <u>Yes</u>	RW: <u>No</u>
CN: <u>Yes</u>	CN: <u>Yes</u>

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Please TAB from field to field)


VDOT Revenue Sharing matching funds requested for FY 2011: \$ 1,000,000
 Locality Revenue Sharing matching funds requested for FY 2011: \$ 1,000,000
 Unmatched funds from Locality for FY 2011: \$ 0
 Other State / Federal / Local funds (list total amount): \$ 600,000 Type(s): Local/developer funds
 Total funds on Project: (should equal total estimated cost above): \$2,600,000 (Right click on "\$ 0" & select "Update Field" for total)
 Has this project received Revenue Sharing funds before? No If yes, what FY(s): _____

PROGRAM EVALUATION CRITERIA (Tiers) (Please TAB from field to field)

Tier 1 – Is project being Locally administered (all phases)? Yes
 Tier 2 – Is locality providing any additional funding in excess of required matching amount? No
 Tier 3 – Is this an existing project with an established advertisement date that will be advanced? No
 Current Advertisement Date: _____ (MM/dd/yyyy) New Advertisement Date: _____ (MM/dd/yyyy)
 Tier 4 – If this project DOES NOT meet any of the above criteria select "Yes". _____ No

COMMENTS

Project's traffic impact analysis has been reviewed and approved by VDOT.

Submitted by: Mr. Edwin Wrightson

 Locality Official _____ Date 6/11/2010

Reviewed by: >VDOT Personnel<

 Residency Admin / Urban Program Manager _____ Date _____